UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

	Rey l	David I	Lopez-Velazquez	Case Number:	17-583MJ
				2(f), a detention hearing has been th, as applicable.)	submitted to the Court. I conclude
	•		onvincing evidence the defendant n this case.	is a danger to the community and	require the detention of the defendant
	, ,		erance of the evidence the defendant this case.	ant is a serious flight risk and requin	re the detention of the defendant
			PART	FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)		• () () (ant has been convicted of a (federa sircumstance giving rise to federal j	Il offense)(state or local offense that urisdiction had existed) that is
			a crime of violence as defined in	n 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
			an offense for which the maxim	um sentence is life imprisonment o	r death.
			an offense for which a maximur	n term of imprisonment of ten years	s or more is prescribed in
			a felony that was committed aft described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f	er the defendant had been convicte ()(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	ed of two or more prior federal offenses local offenses.
				ned in section 921), or any other da	sion or use of a firearm or destructive ingerous weapon, or involves a failure
	(2)	18 U.S pendir	S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense ng trial for a federal, state or local	described in finding 1 was committ offense.	ed while the defendant was on release
	(3)			not more than five years has elapse m imprisonment) for the offense de	
	(4)	will re	igs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a asonably assure the safety of (an) butted this presumption.	a rebuttable presumption that no co other person(s) and the community	ondition or combination of conditions v. I further find that the defendant has
			Al	ternative Findings	
	(1)	18 U.S	S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable	e cause to believe that the defenda	nt has committed an offense
			for which a maximum term of in	prisonment of ten years or more is	prescribed in1
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a	a), or 2332b.	
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for prescribed.	which a maximum term of imprisor	nment of 20 years or more is
			an offense involving a minor vic	tim under section	2
	(2)	The d	efendant has not rebutted the pres	sumption established by finding 1 th	

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,\text{ or }2425.$

	Alternative Findings				
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
(4)					
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
\boxtimes	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
×	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
⊠ □					
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				

³The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention. The defendant was on supervised release in CR-16-01313, while charged with the instant offense. The defendant has ties to a foreign country. There is no evidence of defendant having community ties in the District of Arizona or elsewhere within the United States. The weight of the evidence against the defendant is great.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 21st day of November, 2017

Michelle H. Burns United States Magistrate Judge